

# Machine Learning 1 – Exercise 5

Machine Learning for Computer Vision  
TU Dresden

## Partitioning

- a) For each partition  $\Pi$  of the set  $A = \{a, b, c\}$ , write down:
- the equivalence relation  $\equiv_{\Pi}$  induced by the partition
  - the binary labeling  $y^{\Pi}$  defined in (6.2) of the lecture notes<sup>2</sup>
- b) Prove, for any finite set  $A$ , that the map  $\Pi \mapsto y^{\Pi}$  from partitions to binary labelings is a bijection from the set of all partitions of  $A$  to the set
- $$\mathcal{Y} = \left\{ y : \binom{A}{2} \rightarrow \{0, 1\} \mid \forall a \in A \forall b \in A \setminus \{a\} \forall c \in A \setminus \{a, b\}: y_{\{a,b\}} + y_{\{b,c\}} - 1 \leq y_{\{a,c\}} \right\}$$
- c) Define an instance of the set partition problem for which the output of the greedy joining algorithm, initialized with singleton subsets, is strictly improved by greedy moving.
- d) Define procedures for computing the following differences in cost efficiently:
- $\varphi(y^{\text{join}_{BC}[\Pi]}) - \varphi(y^{\Pi})$ , cf. Algorithm 1 in the lecture notes<sup>2</sup>
  - $\varphi(y^{\text{move}_{aU}[\Pi]}) - \varphi(y^{\Pi})$ , cf. Algorithm 2 in the lecture notes<sup>2</sup>
- e) Define a new local search algorithm for the set partition problem by applying the technique of Kernighan and Lin to greedy *joining*.
- f) If both algorithms are initialized with singleton sets, can greedy joining with the technique of Kernighan and Lin converge to feasible solutions with strictly lower cost than those found by greedy joining without the technique of Kernighan and Lin?

---

<sup>2</sup><https://mlcv.inf.tu-dresden.de/courses/23-winter/ml1/ml1-lecture-notes.pdf>